



Judging Criteria

Comprehension & Content

- Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed, while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?
- Did the presentation clearly describe the impact and/or results of the research, including conclusions and outcomes?
- Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?
- Was the thesis topic, research significance, results/impact and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?
- Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation - or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?



Judging Criteria

Engagement & Communication

- Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
- Was the presenter careful not to trivialise or generalise their research?
- Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?
- Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?
- Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?
- Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?

JUDGE NAME:



FINALIST	NAME / SCHOOL / INSTITUTE	3MT TITLE	NOTES	Comprehension & Content did the presentation help the audience understand the research & was the thesis topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent but non-specialist audience? Score / 10	Engagement & Communication did the oration make the audience want to know more? Score / 10	SCORE TALLY
1						0.0
2						0.0
3						0.0
4						0.0
5						0.0
6						0.0
7						0.0
8						0.0