



UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ORAL PAPER COMPETITION

<i>Item</i>	<i>Rating</i>						
Abstract							
Organization and completeness	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Clarity and readability	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Oral Presentation							
Introduction							
Study background and hypotheses or objectives described	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Presentation							
Experimental design and treatment structure described	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Understands and explains methodology	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Fonts, tables and figures were legible	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Adequately described experimental results	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Spoke to audience, not to the screen	Always	5	4	3	2	1	Never
Speaking volume	Too loud	1	3	5	3	1	Too soft
Speaking clarity, pronunciation, and correct word choice	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Mannerisms (e.g. use of pointer, posture, movement, etc.)	Enhance	5	4	3	2	1	Detract
Presentation was close to 12 minutes	Too Short	1	3	5	3	1	Too long
Responded appropriately to questions	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Summary							
Data were summarized	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Provided conclusion, implications, or major impacts	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Point Total:							

Suggestions for Improvement: