

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT POSTER COMPETITION

Item	Rating						
Abstract							
Organization and completeness	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Clarity and readability	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Poster Presentation							
Organization and Appearance							
Key elements of the poster were present and had logical and effective flow	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Fonts, tables and figures were legible	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Content	Sparse	1	3	5	3	1	Cluttered
Introduction							
Poster conveyed background, context, hypothesis purpose of study Methods	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Student understands and explains design/ treatment structure	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Student understands and explains design deathent structure	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Student understands and explains statistical analysis	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Other Presentation Elements	Excenent	5	4	5	2	1	FOOI
		_		2	•		NT
Student addressed audience, not the poster	Always	5	4	3	2	1	Never
Speaking volume	Too loud	1	3	5	3	1	Too soft
Speaking clarity, pronunciation, and correct word choice	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Mannerisms	Enhance	5	4	3	2	1	Detract
Student responded appropriately to questions	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Summary							
Data were summarized	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Provided conclusion, implications, or major impacts	Excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
Point Total:		1					

Suggestions for Improvement: