

GRADUATE STUDENT THREE-MINUTE VIDEO COMPETITION GUIDELINES

Purpose: To provide graduate students with an opportunity to develop oral communication skills to explain scientific research to a general audience.

Eligibility and Requirements: Graduate students must be current members of WSASAS. Students may compete in the WSASAS Graduate Student Three-Minute Video Competition as many times as they desire during their MS and PhD programs.

Competition Guidelines:

- Deadline for video submission is August 12, 2022 at 11:59 PM CST.
- Presentations must be three minutes or less. Videos over three minutes will be disqualified.
- One prop or static image may be used to supplement the presentation.
- Participants can present on a thesis, dissertation, or other substantial research project.
- Avoid using technical jargon.
- Presentation should convey a positive image on animal industry.

IMPORTANT: After creation of the video, please submit the video and details requested through the online form at https://www.asas.org/sections/western-section/meetings/2022-graduate-student-three-minute-video

 Students should contact Rachel Gibbs <u>(rachel.gibbs@huskers.unl.edu)</u>, Meredith Harrison (<u>maharrison@ucdavis.edu</u>) or Victor Flores (<u>vvf@nmsu.edu</u>) with any questions pertaining to the entry process.

Awards: Contestants are encouraged to attend the WSASAS Awards Banquet. First, second, and third place winners will receive a cash award at the banquet and their video submissions will be presented. Additionally, winning participants' videos will be available on the WSASAS website.

Judging: Videos will be scored by three judges. A score sheet is provided on the next page of this document.

Examples/Tips: The following links are good examples videos from a similar competition.

Sharon Savage – "Battle of the Brain"

Farnaz Fouladi - "The Role of the Gut Bacteria in Sustained Weight Loss Surgery"



THREE-MINUTE VIDEO COMPETITION SCORE SHEET

Presenter: Presentation was disqualified - exceeded three minutes	
1.1	. Well organized and easy to follow.
1.2	2. Distinct voice.
1.3	3. Good eye contact with audience.
1.4	. Maximized content without being verbose.
2.	Communication (15 points)
2.1	. Explained technical material in an understandable and concise manner.
2.2	2. Premise of their research was clearly explained.
2.3	3. The topic and its significance were appropriately communicated.
2.4	. Avoided use of technical jargon.
2.5	i. Portrayed animal industry in a positive light.
3.	Presentation(10 points)
3.1	. Video captured the audience's attention.
3.2	2. Information was presented in a creative, unique way.
3.3	8. Adequate depth of information was presented.
4.	Knowledge of the subject(5 points)
4.1	. Video indicated appropriate knowledge of the subject presented.
5.	Production(5 points)
5.1	. Audio, visual, and editing quality were adequate.